

TRANSCRIED. OF BECORD

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OCCUPED THE UNITED STATES

Na. 480

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, APPELLANT

THE DOUTTABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK

APPEAR PROM THE COURT OF APPEARS OF THE DESPRIOR OF CONSUMERA

TILES MAY & 1986

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1925

No. 430

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, APPELLANT

VS.

THE EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

INDEX

	Original	Print
Record from Supreme Court of District of Columbia	1	1
Caption	1	1
Bill of complaint	1	1
Suggestion as to the rights of the United States	6	6
Exhibit A. List of vessels with policies and cargoes	10	10
Exhibit B. List of persons and policies		12
Exhibits C and D. List of vessels and policies	15	15
Motion to strike suggestion	18	18
Order granting motion to strike suggestion		18
Assignment of errors	19	19
Præcipe for transcript of record		20
Clerk's certificate	20	20
Proceedings in Court of Appeals of District of Columbia-		21
Argument of cause and order granting appellants leave to file		
reply brief	21	21
Opinion, Van Orsdell, J.	22	21
Judgment		28
Petition for appeal	29	28
Assignment of errors	31	29
Order allowing appeal		30
Citation and service (copy) [omitted in printing]		30
Præcipe for transcript of record		30
Clerk's certificate	. 37	31
	. 34	31



SUPREME COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW York, plaintiff,

THOMAS WOODNUTT MILLER, ALIEN PROPERTY Custodian, and Frank White, Treasurer of the United States, defendants.

Equity No. 42270

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, District of Columbia, 88:

Be it remembered, that in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, at the city of Washington, in said District, at the times hereinafter mentioned, the following papers were filed and proceedings had in the above-entitled cause, to wit:

1

Bill of complaint

Filed February 25, 1924

Supreme Court of the District of Columbia

Holding an Equity Court

THE EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, plaintiff,

Equity No. 42270

THOMAS WOODNUTT MILLER, ALIEN PROPERTY Custodian, and Frank White, Treasurer of the United States, defendants.

To the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia:

Plaintiff states as follows:

I. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff, The Equitable Trust Company of New York, was and now is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, having a place of business at No. 37 Wall Street in the Borough of Manhattan, city, county, and State of New York, and brings this suit in its own right because of and for the matters and things herein-

after set forth.

II. Defendant, Thomas Woodnutt Miller, by appointment of the President of the United States of America, under authority and provisions of section 6 of the act of Congress approved October 6, 1917 (40 Stats. L. 411, 415), entitled "An act to define, regulate, and punish trading with the enemy, and for other purposes," and known as the trading with the enemy act, is now and since the 12th day of March, 1921, in succession to one Francis P. Garvan, has been Alien Property Custodian duly empowered by law to receive and to hold, administer, and account under the general direction of

the President and as provided in said trading with the enemy act, for all money and property in the United States "due or belonging to an enemy or ally of enemy, which may be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned, or delivered to said (Alien Property) Custodian under the provisions of" said act, and is sued

herein as such Alien Property Custodian.

III. By section 12 of the trading with the enemy act (40 Stats. L. 411, 423) it is provided and required "that all moneys (including checks and drafts payable on demand) paid to or received by the Alien Property Custodian pursuant to this (trading with the enemy) act shall be deposited forthwith in the Treasury of the United States.

Defendant, Frank White, is Treasurer of the United States and is sued herein as such Treasurer for and because of the matters and

things hereinafter set forth.

IV. Prior to the 24th day of January, 1916, that is from and after the 24th day of December, 1915, there was existent and in full force as published in the Reichsgesetzblatt (Code of the Empire) for 1915, at page 842 thereof, a certain law of the Imperial German Empire entitled (as translated) an "Act relating to the establishment of the second amendment to the budget of the Empire for the year 1915, dated December 24, 1915," which said law, omitting said title, is in the words and figures following, to-wit:

"We, Wilhelm, by the grace of God, the German Emperor, King of Prussia, etc., order in the name of the Empire, the Federal Council

and the Reichstag having consented, as follows:

"Paragraph 1.

"The Second Amendment of the Budget of the Empire for the year 1915, annexed hereto shall be added to the Budget.

"Paragraph 2.

"The Imperial Chancellor shall be permitted to float a 3 loan in the sum of 10 000 000 000 Marks in order to meet extraordinary expenditures which only once take place.

"Paragraph 3.

"The bonds and treasury notes and coupons thereto belonging which shall be issued may wholly or partly be made payable in foreign currency or in domestic and foreign currency at a certain rate of exchange to be fixed and may be made payable in a foreign country.

"It shall be left to the discretion of the Imperial Chancellor to fix such rate of exchange and special conditions for payments in a foreign

"Certified by our own signature and the Imperial Seal affixed hereto. "(L S.) WILHELM

"Done General Headquarters, December 24, 1915. VON BETHMANN HOLLWEG"

V. Pursuant to the authority and provisions of said law the Government of the German Empire, prior to the first day of June, 1916, that is, on or about the 24th day of January, 1916, issued Treasury notes of the German Empire, identified as Series 26, Lit X. Nr 191,

payable in the city of New York, State of New York, in dollars in gold coin of the United States of America. Each of such Treasury notes, except for appropriate variations in serial numbers and amounts, reads as follows:

"Due on the first day of April 1917 Series 26, Lit X. Nr 191

"TREASURY NOTES OF THE GERMAN EMPIRE

"part of a total amount of 10 000 000 Dollars issued under authority of the law of December 24, 1915

(Code of the Empire of 1915, p. 842)

"The holder of this note is entitled to receive payment on April 1st, 1917, of the amount of

4 10,000 Dollars

"TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS

"in gold coin of the United States of America, at the office of the Central Trust Company of New York, New York.

"This note is exempt from all present and future German

taxes and duties.

"The time for payment of this note after maturity is limited by

prescription to April 1st, 1947.

"This note is valid only if legalized by the signature of the Imperial German Embassador at Washington, or by the signature of his authorized representative, under the Embassy's official seal.

Reichsschuldenverwaltung (German Script) "Berlin, January 24, 1916."

"Washington, May 24, 1916 German Embassy

"Registered "Kgl. Preuss, Knotrolle der Staatspapiere Haar

(Embassy Seal) J. V. Bernstorff Issued Laun."

VI. On or about the 1st day of June, 1916, plaintiff, The Equitable Trust Company of New York, purchased for value and still holds unpaid, certain of the Treasury notes above referred to and described, same being more particularly identified as to number and principal

amounts represented thereby as follows: Notes numbered 15 to 19, both included, for the principal sum of \$50,000 each, aggregating Notes numbered 59 to 62, both included, and 65 and 68, for the prin-\$250,000

cipal sum of \$25,000 each, aggregating.

Notes numbered 184 to 193, both included, for the principal sum of 150,000 \$10,000 each, aggregating 100,000 Each of said Treasury notes of the Imperial German Empire so purchased and yet held, because unpaid, by this plaintiff bears the

following endorsement, to wit:

"In consideration of the payment to the undersigned holder of this note of \$600—interest at the rate of six per cent. per annum, from April 1, 1917, to April 1, 1918, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, the payment and maturity of this note is hereby extended to April 1, 1918.

THE EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK F. W. Fulle Vice-President"

Since said 1st day of June, 1916, plaintiff has been and now is the owner and holder for value in its own right of the Treasury notes of

the German Empire, in this paragraph specified.

Interest upon said principal sum of \$500,000, at the rate of six (6) per centum per annum has been fully paid for a period of 194 days, from April 1st, 1918; that is to say, up to the 12th day of October, 1918, as is evidenced by appropriate endorsement on the back of each of the above-described Treasury notes, leaving, as of said 12th day of October, 1918, a balance due to this plaintiff on account of said Treasury notes of \$500,000, with interest thereon from said 12th day of October, 1918, at the rate of six per centum per annum until

paid.

VII. On information and belief, plaintiff avers that by virtue of his authority under the provisions of the trading with the enemy act and the executive orders of the President of the United States pursuant thereto, the defendant, Thomas Woodnutt Miller, as Alien Property Custodian, or the predecessor or predecessors of said defendant as Alien Property Custodian, have caused to be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned, or delivered to themselves respectively as Alien Property Custodian, certain funds, securities, and/or other property of the Government of the Imperial German Empire in this country, and that such funds, securities, and other property are now held by the defendant Thomas Woodnutt Miller as Alien

Property Custodian or are now held subject to his order or are now held by the defendant Frank White as Treasurer of

the United States.

On information and belief, plaintiff avers that the existing Government of Germany, which is the successor in interest and estate of the Imperial German Government, has admitted its indebtedness to the plaintiff upon the Treasury notes of the German Empire hereinabove referred to, both principal and past due interest, and has consented in writing to the payment of the principal and interest of said indebtedness out of the funds, securities, or other property available for such purposes now in the possession or under the control of defendant Thomas Woodnutt Miller as Alien Property Custodian or in the possession or under the control of defendant Frank White as Treasurer of the United States, as the case may be.

VIII. On or about the 20th day of January, 1922, The Equitable Trust Company of New York, as required in and by section 9 of said "trading with the enemy act," did file with the Alien Property

Custodian a notice of its claim, under oath, for an on account of said Treasury notes so as aforesaid unpaid and held by it together with interest at six (6) per centum per annum accrued and to accrue due thereon, all in such form and containing such particulars as said Custodian then required, and thereafter plaintiff did make application to the President of the United States to order the payment and delivery to it of so much of said moneys so transferred, assigned, delivered, and paid to said Custodian as was necessary to pay and discharge its said claim, but this the President on or about the 5th

day of March, 1923, exercising the discretion in him reposed by said "trading with the enemy act," declined to do, thus relegating this plaintiff to its suit in equity as in and by said "trading with the enemy act" is provided.

IX. Plaintiff, The Equitable Trust Company of New York, is not now nor at any time has been an enemy or ally of any enemy within the definitions and meanings of "the trading with the enemy act." It claims an interest and right in the aforesaid funds, securities, and other property in the possession or under the control of Thomas Woodnutt Miller as Alien Property Custodian or in the possession or under the control of defendant Frank White as Treasurer of the United States, as aforesaid, and brings this, its suit, as authorized so to do under the provisions of section 9 (a) of said "trading with the enemy act," as amended by the act of Congress approved March 4, 1923 (Public No. 536, 67th Congress), to establish its interest, right, and debt as hereinbefore set forth and claimed.

Wherefore, plaintiff respectfully prays:

1. That writs of subpœna may be issued against said defendants, (a) Thomas Woodnutt Miller, Alien Property Custodian (office address, Arlington Building, Vermont Avenue and H Street Northwest; residence, The Racquet Club, #1135 Sixteenth Street Northwest), and

(b) Frank White, Treasurer of the United States (office address, Treasury Department Building, residence, #5200 Thir-

teenth Street Northwest)

commanding them and each of them to answer the exigencies of this bill of complaint.

2. Upon proof made and after hearing, that defendant Thomas Woodnutt Miller, as Alien Property Custodian, and defendant Frank White, as Treasurer of the United States, and both or either of them as the case may require, and this honorable court shall determine to be just and proper, shall pay over to the plaintiff out of any funds of the Government of the German Empire now in their custody or in the custody of either of them, the sum of \$500,000, with interest upon said sum from the 12th day of October, 1918, at the rate of six per cent per annum to the date of this decree, and thereafter to the date of payment if this honorable court should so adjudge; or that any securities or other property of the Government of the German Empire in the custody of the defendant Thomas Woodnutt Miller as Alien Property Custodian, be sold by him under order of this court, and that the said sum of \$500,000, with interest as aforesaid, or any balance thereof which may remain unpaid after the aforesaid payments shall have been made, be paid to the plaintiff out of the proceeds of such sale.

3. For such other and further relief as this honorable court, the premises considered, may deem just and proper.

And plaintiff will ever pray, &c., &c.

THE EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK. By A. W. LOASBY, President.

MURRAY, ALDRICH & ROBERTS, 37 Wall Street, New York. McKenney & Flannery.

Hibbs Building, Washington, D. C. Attorneys for Plaintiff.

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NEW YORK, 9 Southern District of New York, 88:

Arthur W. Loasby, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That he is an officer, to wit, the President of The Equitable Trust Company of New York, the plaintiff in this action; that he has read the foregoing bill of complaint and knows the contents thereof, and that the facts therein stated of his own knowledge are true, and that as to the facts therein stated and alleged on information and belief, he is credibly informed and verily believes the same to be true.

ARTHUR W. LOASBY.

Sworn to before me and signed in my presence this 19th day of February, 1924.

SEAL.

10

6

JOHN A. HALE,

Notary Public, Kings County, No. 215, Reg. No. 4221. Certificate filed in N. Y. Co., No. 582, Reg. No. 4418. Commission expires March 30th, 1924.

Suggestion as to the rights of the United States

Filed April 11, 1924

Now comes the United States of America by James M. Beck, Acting Attorney General of the United States, and respectfully suggests to this honorable court as follows:

(1) The United States of America is a body politic and is not an enemy or ally of enemy, within the purview and meaning of the trading with the enemy act, the amendments thereto and the proclamations and Executive orders issued thereunder.

(2) That the Imperial German Government was, and its successor is, an enemy within the purview and meaning of the said act and said

proclamation and Executive orders issued thereunder.

(3) That on or about the 4th day of August, 1914, a state of war was declared between Germany on the one side and France, England, and their allies on the other.

(4) That after the outbreak of war referred to in paragraph numbered 3, the United States of America, in accordance with certain acts of Congress, passed for that purpose, issued for valuable consideration, certain policies of insurance upon certain vessels and their cargoes. The names of the said vessels, together with the policies covering the same and their cargoes, are contained in columns 1 and 2 of Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and made a part hereof:

(5) That after the outbreak of the war referred to in paragraph 3, the United States of America, in accordance with certain acts of Congress, passed for that purpose, issued for valuable consideration certain policies of insurance upon the lives of the crews of the said The names of the said persons upon whose lives the said insurance was issued, together with the policies covering the same, are contained in columns 1 and 2 of Exhibit B, which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

(6) That during the time that said policies of insurance were in full force and effect, certain of the said vessels and their cargoes were destroyed by armed vessels of the Imperial German Government.

(7) During the time the said policies of insurance upon the lives of the said persons were in full force and effect certain of the said persons were killed by the Imperial German Government at the same time the said vessels were destroyed.

(8) That by reason of the said destruction of the said vessels and their cargoes and the killing of the said persons the United States of

America became liable to pay to the owners of the said vessels and of the cargoes and to the beneficiaries of the policies of insurance upon the lives of the said persons, large sums of money, by virtue of the terms of the said policies of insurance, which sums of money the United States of America has long since paid. The sums of money paid under the said policies for the loss of the said vessels and their cargoes are set forth in column 3 of Exhibits A and B attached hereto, opposite the name of the vessel and cargo, and the person killed as aforesaid, for which the said money was paid.

(9) That upon the payment of the said sums of money the United States of America became entitled to recover from Germany the said sums of money disbursed under said policies by reason of the destruc-tion of the said vessels, cargoes, and lives by Germany.

(10) That by reason of the premises aforesaid, a right has accrued and there is now due and owing to the United States of America from the successor of the Imperial German Government the sum of twentynine million three hundred four thousand five hundred fifty-three dollars and thirty-nine cents (\$29,304,553.39), for all of which Germany has agreed to reimburse the United States of America.

(11) That the Imperial German Government or its successor has not paid said sum nor any part thereof and said entire sum now remains due and owing from it to the United States of America.

(12) That it is alleged in the bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff herein that there is now in the Treasury of the United States or in the possession of the Alien Property Custodian a large amount of money which the plaintiff alleges was at the time of the seizure thereof the property of the Imperial German Government. The said money

is now held in the Treasury of the United States. In the event 13 that the plaintiffs herein should show that the money was at the time of the seizure thereof, the money of the Imperial German Government, the United States of America is entitled to assert the claim hereinbefore set forth against the said money now held in the Treasury of the United States, either prior to the claim of the plaintiff or upon a prorata basis with the claim of the plaintiff.

(13) That the United States of America is entitled to receive payment of the said sum out of any money of the Imperial German Government and/or its successor or successors in the possession of the

Treasurer of the United States.

(14) That of the aforesaid amount now due and owing to the United States from the Imperial German Government or its successor, sixteen million six hundred and twenty thousand four hundred and thirty-six dollars and five cents was due and owing prior to October 6, 1917, as will be shown by Exhibits C and D hereof, which are incorporated herein.

(15) That there has been filed on behalf of the United States of America with the Alien Property Custodian, a notice of claim under oath and in the form required by the Alien Property Custodian pursuant to the terms and provisions of the trading with the enemy act, the amendments thereto and the proclamations and Executive orders

issued thereunder.

14

(16) That the United States of America avers upon information and belief that there are now pending in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia certain suits, in which Thomas W. Miller, as Alien Property Custodian, and Frank White, as Treasurer of the United States, are parties defendant and the following persons and

corporations are plaintiffs: American National Bank of St. Paul.

Equitable Trust Co., of N. Y.

Middleton S. Borland, trustee in bankruptcy.

August Heckscher. Henry G. Hilken.

Mechanics Securities Corporation.

Securities Corporation General. C. I. Stralem et al.

Abraham L. Garbat. Anna Thalmann.

Republic Trading Company.

Jacob Kaufman.

And in all of the said suits the plaintiffs alleged creditors of the Imperial German Government, seek to recover out of the money held by the Treasurer of the United States as aforesaid large sums

of money alleged to be owing to them.

(17) That the United States of America avers upon information and belief that there are now pending in this honorable court five suits in which Thomas W. Miller, as Alien Property Custodian, and Frank White, as Treasurer of the United States, are parties defendant and the following persons and corporations are plaintiffs, also alleged creditors of the Imperial German Government:

Boatmen's bank.
August A. Busch.
Lilly Busch.
Mercantile Trust Co.
Northwestern Trust Co.

(18) That aggregate amount sought to be recovered in the suits enumerated in paragraphs 10 and 11 is far in excess of the amount of money now held by the Treasurer of the United States as aforesaid.

(19) That upon information and belief the money in the possession of the Treasurer of the United States as set forth in paragraph 12 constitutes the only available funds of the Imperial German

Government or its successor, if such money ever belonged to the Imperial German Government, for the payment of the alleged indebtedness of the plaintiffs in the suits, enumerated in paragraphs 10 and 11, and the indebtedness of the United States or of other creditors not now before this court and that if the plaintiffs in the above-named suits are allowed to secure satisfaction of their claims out of the said funds to the exclusion of the United States, the United States will suffer an irreparable injury and there will be an undue preference as between creditors of the Imperial German Government or its successor or successors.

(20) That the United States, as well as certain citizens of the United States, are creditors of the Imperial German Government in matters other than those above set out, and that by treaty the Imperial German Government and its successor have agreed with the United States to make certain payments and restitutions, and that by later agreement a commission to be known as a "Mixed Claims Commission" was established to determine the liability and to fix the amount of the awards due from the Imperial German Government to the United States and its citizens and that no provision for payment was made by this agreement; that awards to the United States Government and to United States citizens have been made and are now being made; that this is the only fund now available

belonging to the Imperial German Government from which awards can be satisfied or an indebtedness be paid; that if judgments are secured in the present suits and decrees satisfied the fund held by the Treasurer of the United States would be exhausted and there would be nothing of which the United States and other creditors could secure their said claims; that such process would be an inequitable preference of creditors.

Wherefore the United States of America respectfully prays:

(1) That the bill of complaint be dismissed;

(2) That the indebtedness of the United States set forth herein be determined by this court as a valid and existing indebtedness and that the Treasurer of the United States be ordered and directed to pay to the United States the amount thereof out of the funds as aforesaid or to the extent thereof;

(3) That this court find and determine that the United States is entitled to a priority over other claims or is at least entitled to share prorata with other claimants in the distribution of the said fund;

(4) That this court take jurisdiction of the claims of the United States against the Imperial German Government. and in the event that the funds aforesaid are determined to have been the funds of the Imperial German Government prior to the seizure thereof that this court order the payment to the United States out of the said funds of the aforesaid claim;

(5) And for such additional and further relief as the exigencies of the case may require, and as to this honorable court may seem meet.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
By HARLAN F. STONE,
Attorney General of the United States.

17 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, 88:

Frank T. Hines, being duly sworn, deposes and says, that he has read the foregoing suggestion of the United States; that he knows

the facts therein alleged to be true except those states to be alleged upon information and belief and as to such facts he believes the same to be true.

FRANK T. HINES,

G

GHH

23

24

J. L

Kan:

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of April, 1924.

JOHN D. CUTTER,

[SEAL.]

Notary Public, D. C.

18

Ехнівіт А

Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.	Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.
A. A. Raven	9982-9992 10103-10104 10140-10141 10172-10175 10181-10184	\$2,881.82	Dorothy B. Barrett	17941 17941 17941 17941 17941	\$585, 19 585, 19 585, 19 585, 19 6, 437, 00
A. B. Sherman (hull)	10280 4660	15, 859. 21	66	17941	5, 266, 6
Alamance	4660 13520	1, 071. 00 126. 27	44	17941 17941	292. 6 585, 18
44	14181	6, 499. 06	44	17941	385. 1
84	14249 14250	25. 00 30. 00	. 41	17941 17941	292, 6 585, 1
Anna R. Heidritter			64	17941	585, 1
(hull)	11850 20391	3, 592, 23	16	17941 17941	1, 170. 3 1, 170. 3
66	20391	100.00	45	17941	585. 1
84	20391	100.00	44	17941 17941	585, 1 585, 1
11	20391 20392	100.00 1, 200.00	64	17941	585. 1
Argonaut (hull)	16227	516, 500. 00	44	17941	585. 1
14	15203 15277	15, 760. 25 13, 813, 75	46	17941 17941	1, 170. 3 1, 170. 3
11	15370	35, 000. 00	**	17941	585, 1
Boringuen (hull)	1817	3, 806, 07	44	17941 17941	585. 1 585. 1
C. A. Canfield (buil)	20122 20122	15, 898, 63 250, 00	**	17941	292, 6
Campana (hull)	6087	1, 149, 563. 00	21 "	17941	585. 1
	619-621 627-628	235, 850. 00	44	17943 17941	585. 1 585. 1
Carib	701-705	200, 800.00	44	17941	2, 340. 7
Chincha (hull)	15078	12, 082. 40	44	17941 17941	1, 170. 3
9 Christiane (hull)	15076 6435	75. 00 30, 000. 00	44	17941	585. 1 1, 170. 3
Cruiser (hull)	27052	3, 000, 00	44	17941	292.6
Dirigo (hull)	2537 3806	175, 000. 00 70, 000. 00	44	17941	146. 3
61	3817	15, 000. 00	4.	17941	1, 170. 3 2, 340. 7
D. N. Luckenbach(hull)	10974	219, 967, 00		17941 17941	2, 340. 7 585. 1
	10462 10786	22, 100. 00	46	17941	585. 1
83	10889	110, 900.00	44	17941	585. 1
64	10971 10985	8, 000, 00 15, 427, 77	44	17941 17941	585, 1 585, 1
44	11024	24, 250.00	44	17941	146. 3
44	11025	46, 100.00	44	17941 17941	585. 1
44	11026 11130	122, 550. 00 47, 100. 00	44	17941	1, 170. 3 292. 6
84	11133	531, 011. 72	44	17941	585, 1
14	11238 13145	25, 000. 00 9, 000. 00	44	17941 17941	585. 1 2, 340. 7
Dorothy H Barrett			4.6	17941	585. H
(bull)	17941	585. 18	44	17941	585. 1 1, 170. 3
**	17941 17941	1, 170. 37 1, 170. 37	61	17941	292.6
44	17941	1, 170, 37	22 "	17941	1, 170. 3
64	17941	585, 18 585, 18	64	17941	585. 1 585. 1
44	17941	585. 18	46	17941	1, 170. 3
44	17941	585, 18	46	17941 17941	1, 170. 3 585. 1
46	17941 17941	1, 170. 37 585. 18	46	17941	292.6
90 44	17941	585, 18	44	17941	585. 13
66	17941 17941	1, 170. 37 585. 18	44	17941	7 022 2
46	17941	585, 18	Grace (hull)	4711	7, 022. 2 449, 973. 1
84	17941	585, 18	46	4026	7, 000. 0

	1	Vessel	Policy No	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A		Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A
Gra	ce (hu	11)	402	7 \$8,000.00	Kansan		6355	AF 400 (
	66	*******	404	8 3, 000. 00	1			\$5, 489. 0 2, 740. 0 5, 500. 0
	66	*****	402	26, 745, 19	"		6353	5, 500. 0
	44		461		Lowis	er (hull) Luckenbach	21910	49, 800. 0
	66		471	2,000.00	mun.	Luckenbach	7983	900 004 4
	64		493			64	7775	899, 994, 4 2, 000, 0 29, 155, 0
	66		. 494 504			44	9963	29, 155. 0
	64		5096	300.00	. 1	66	10067	110, 000, 0
	48		484	950, 00		44	10147	30, 700, 0 47, 800, 0
Gree	enbrier	(hull)	- 801	50, 000. 00		44	10195	46, 850, 0
Hilo	nian ((hull)hull)	5737	28, 000. 00 275, 000. 00	1	46	10196	47, 300. 0 68, 050. 0
	64		2706	20, 000. 00		44	10197	68, 050. 0
	44		. 2787	576, 00	1	44	10271 10272	4, 500. 0 10, 600. 0
23	44		_ 2937	7, 844, 00	1	44	10284	15, 000, 0
	64		_ 2938 2939		1	41 -1- 12 12 -1	12162	1, 404, 5
	44		2950	2,000.00	1.12	zie E. Denni-	9677 9470	***
	44	******	2991	13, 732, 00	26 M	ondrugada	3675-3678 19348	756, 83 1, 750, 00
	66		3000	10, 600, 00	II Ms	gnus Manson		
	44	******	3001	500.00	1	hull)	2567	75, 000. 00
	4.6	******	3027	3, 175, 00 160, 00	Moregi	an (hull) hull)	2501	75, 000. 0 999, 777. 5 775, 000. 0
	66	*******	3027	425, 00	The or call		4682 4683	135,000,0
	66	*******	3027	85, 00	44		4684	135, 000. 00 578, 700. 00
	0.5	******	3027 3067	1, 895. 00 750. 00	Muriel (h	ull)k (hull)	19279	11, 950, 00
	44		3149	8, 000, 00	New Yor	k (hull)	1833	910. 34
	6.6	********	3191	9, 500. 00	44	**	2803 & 2492 2578	520. 17
	64	******	3157	3 300 00	**	*******	2702	9. 04 126. 50
	64		3185	14, 413. 53 6, 083. 00	44		2702	76. 58
	6.6		3210 3211	6, 083, 00	Norlina (hull)	4781	6, 300, 45
	4.4		3212	7, 402, 00 3, 087, 00	Orleans (null)	4781	100.00
	66	*********	3301	14, 000, 00	11 41	1(411)	5640 5641	475, 000, 00
	64		3731	7, 500. 00	64		5680	5, 486. 01 51, 000. 00
	4.6		3328 3380	850. 00 5, 800. 00	61		5689	23 253 00
	64	*******	3387	1, 050. 00	44		5854 5866	5, 700. 00
	44	********	3390	475.00	84	*********	5871	200. 00 12, 000. 00
	44		3391	1, 650. 00	61		5872	9 500 00
4	44	*******	3494 3495	570. 00 160. 00	**		5907	1, 700. 00
	8.6	***********	3496	355.00	44	********	5906	2, 200, 00
	66		3498	1, 045, 00	44	***************************************	5909 5946	10,000.00
	**		3499	7, 525. 00	44	**********	0049	1, 600. 00 7, 574. 00 8, 500. 00
	6.6		3500 3644	5, 075. 00 1, 400. 00	27 "	********	6050	8, 500.00
	4.4	*********	3646	750.00	41	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6067	32, 150, 00
	44		3647	250.00	44		6120	95, 000. 00 19, 162. 00
	66	*****	3648	2, 000. 00	44	***********	6165	107, 334, 45
	66		3649 3667	2, 800, 00 1, 325, 00		********	6341	107, 334, 45 54, 900, 00 2, 800, 00
	64	********	3682	3, 500. 00	44		6342	2, 800. 00
	44	******	3732	2, 000. 00	44	***********	6418 6419	1, 000. 00 200. 00
	**	*******	3787	900.00	Percy Bire	isail (huil)	2403	25, 000, 00
	44	*******	4664 4664	62, 590. 00 200. 00	Petrolite ((Ilm	3833	475, 000, 00
	44		4664	2, 210. 00	Progress (null) null) hull) ichard (hull)	6086	565, 000, 00
son	(hull)	**********	14651	799. 24	Portland (hull)	19548 1106	5, 993, 00
			14651	20.00	Robert & R	ichard (hull)	18252	1, 064, 84 11, 950, 00
L. L	deken	bach (hull)	9594 9594	10, 860. 71 75. 00	reasn (nui)	1	20068	30, 938, 00
	44		10277	35. 12	Rochester.		10130	250, 00
	66		10370	1, 076. 92	14		10130	250, 00 160, 30
	64		10460	105. 37			10130	226. 50
	8.6		10599 10748	3, 520. 38	44		10130	100.00
	64		10881-10883	25. 22 280. 80	44		10130	100.00
,	Iohn D		10979-10983	678. 37	44		10130	66, 15 500, 00
	5.723334	Archbold	4987	9 900 900 00	-00	***************************************	10130	250.00
nsar	0	**********	5093	2, 200, 006, 00 62, 800, 00	44		10130	100.00
64		*********	5470	22, 5,0. 00	46	*********	10130	100.00 100.00
44			6140	22, 5 JO. 00 2, 474. 00 3, 300. 00	Rock	ngham (hull)	2560	799, 941, 60
66		********	6152 6347	3, 300, 00	28	46.5	27075	4, 150. 00
84		**********	6350	27, 190, 00 8, 740, 00	senu	ikill (hull)	9659	4, 150. 00 599, 905. 49 49, 948. 36
66	*****		6351	8, 740, 00 1		41	9847 10285	49, 948, 36 3, 136, 00
68		********	6352	5, 500. 00		44	10291	3, 000. 00
			6354	5, 500. 00		44	10523	10, 930, 29

	Vess	el	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.	Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.
Schu	vlkill (h	all)	10524	\$2, 342, 48	Stanley M. Seaman		
	44		10525	14, 500. 00	(huli)	19323	\$2,812,50
	44		10526	14, 500, 00	44	19323	1, 875, 00
	44		10528	34, 633, 19	64	19323	1, 875, 00
	44		10529	7, 426. 49	44	19323	1, 875.00
	44		10682	30, 000. 00	- 66	19323	3, 750.00
	66		10700	300.00	44	19323	1, 875.00
	66		10706	21, 000. 00	44	19323	1,875.00
	66		10720	1, 350. 00	44	19323	3, 750, 00
	66		10721	1, 675, 00	**	19323	1,875,00
	66		10799	23, 750. 00	16	19323	1,875.00
	66		10942	15, 200. 00		19323	3, 750, 00
	66		11160	194, 678, 00	44	19323	1, 875. 00
	66		10816	3, 500. 00	44	19323	1,875.00
	66		10891	10, 900. 00		19323	1, 875, 00
	44		10892	10, 350. 00		19323	1,875.00
	66		10893	6, 500. 00	44	19323 19323	1,875.00
	4.6		10894	9, 750. 00	41		1,875.00 2,812.50
	44		10895	8, 300. 00	44	19323 19323	1, 875. 00
	44	******	10896 10897	8, 600. 00 8, 250. 00	44	19323	1, 875, 00
	64		10898	8, 200, 00	44	19323	1, 875. 00
	9.6		10899	28, 000, 00	44	19323	937. 50
29	6.6		10900	30, 000. 00	44	19323	1, 875, 00
	66	*******	10901	52, 000. 00	46	19323	937. 50
	66	**	10902	12, 000. 00	31 "	19323	1, 875. 00
	6.6		10903	12, 000, 00	46	19323	1, 875.00
	8.6		10904	12, 000, 00	44	19323	1,875.00
	6.6		10905	12, 000. 00	44	19323	1, 875, 00
	66		10906	10, 000, 00	44	19323	3, 750, 00
	6.6		10907	13, 729. 00	4.6	19323	937, 50
	6.6		10908	4, 064, 00	44	19323	2, 812, 50
	44		10909	1, 692, 00	44	19323	1, 875.00
	44		10910	5, 142, 00	4.0	19323	1, 875.00
	64		10911	5, 315, 00	4.6	19323	1, 875.00
	4.0		10912	10, 530. 00	44	19323	3, 750, 00
	66		10913	24, 840. 00	44	19323	937.50
	64		10914	8, 731. 00	44	19323	1,875.00
	64		10915	6, 440, 00	46	19323	1,875.00
	66		10916	18, 281. 00	44	19323	1,875.00
	64		10917	5, 837. 00		19323	937, 50
	64		10920	1, 721. 00		19323	937, 50
	44		10943	64, 000. 00	- 11	19323	1,875.00
	64		10948	140.00	44	19323	1, 875, 00
	44		10950	87. 87		19323 13037	1, 875, 00 150, 000, 00
	86		10951	300, 00	Suruga (hull)	13027	214, 503. 18
	69		10953	1, 010. 63	44	13037	858. 50
stan	lev M.	Paaman	10954	380. 00	44	13037	9. 10
(hu		Seaman	19323	1, 875, 00	St. Louis (hull)	4075	12, 879. 24
(iii	Mannagar	********	19323	14, 062, 00	Wm. H. Clifford (hull)	6459	50, 000.00
	6.6		19323	2, 812, 00	Wm. H. Starbuck (hull)	20421	2, 133. 33
30	44		19323	3, 750. 00	is the contract of	20421	1, 066, 67
-0	66		19323	1, 875. 00	Wilmore (hull)	8961	1, 375, 000.00
	66		19323	1, 875, 00		-500	
	66		19323	937. 00	Total		17, 424, 229, 22
	66	-	19923	1, 875, 99			

32

Ехнівіт В

Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.	Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.
Alamanco	13520	\$95, 100. 00	A. Piatt Andrew	20391	\$100.00
6.6	13787-13793	216, 267, 69	44	20391	100.00
44	13814	8, 080. 31	**	20391	100.00
***************************************		13, 056, 02	11	20391	100.00
44	13815	9, 184, 18	44	20391	100.00
44	13830	16, 500, 00	44	20391	100.00
66	13879	4, 997, 19	**	20391	100.00
44	13986	117, 800, 00	66	20391	100.00
44	14249	29, 563, 34	**	20391	100.00
M	14250	701, 251, 00	44	20391	100.00
A. Piatt Andrew	20391	100.00	44	20391	100.00
A. Flatt Allulew	20391	100.00	44	20391	100, 00
44	20391	100.00	44	20391	100,00
46			44	20391	100.00
******	20391	100, 00		20004	4000 40

	Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.		Vessel	Folicy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A
A. Piat	t Andrew	20391	\$100.00	Argo	naut	16297	*01 010
		20391	100.00	1		16298	\$85, 212 83, 382 27, 250
Argona	ut	15124	20, 989. 00			16299	27, 250
44	************	15125 15126	21, 410, 00	36 4	Dirigo	3187	18, 943, (
44	************	15127	21, 416. 00 21, 678. 00 43, 684. 00	30	D. W. Farehander	3428	4, 300, 6 41, 147, 9
14	************	15286	111, 002, 00	11	D. N. Luckenbach	10766	41, 147, 9
84		15287	175, 198. 00 27, 913. 00		46	10876	7, 036.
66	*********	15288	27, 913, 00		64	10876	12, 229, 1 9, 296, 2
66	**********	15289	66, 008, 00	1	4.6	10876	1, 063. 6
4.6	**********	15307 15308	63, 882, 00	11		11002	92, 030, 0
6.6	***********	15309	4, 503. 00 32, 849. 00	1	44	11040	92, 030. (12, 500. (
64	***************************************	15310	76, 280. 00	1	44	11103	1, 297. (
44	********	15311	25, 596, 00	Grace		11240 3979	23, 780. 5
44	********	15331	20, 750, 00	66	***********	3907	8, 500, 0
46	*********	15375	89, 000, 00	1 64	************	3998	7, 500. 6 1, 400. 6
6.6	***********	15376	28, 600, 00	**	************	4018	10, 000. 0
8.6	*********	15457 15458	99, 500, 00		************	4034	18, 000, 0
44	*************	15463	26, 600, 00 28, 050, 00	11	****	4042	3, 800, 0
4.4	**********	15464	32, 300, 00	4.6	**********	4128	9, 500, 0
44	**********	15465	29, 400. 00	66	******	4129	9, 500. 0
44	**********	15466	27, 800, 00	44		4130 4131	7, 277. 0
66	**********	15548	31, 000. 00	86	*************	4131	3, 030, 0
66	**********	15549 15550	91, 000, 00	66		4191	3, 000. 0 7, 500. 0
4.4	*********	15550 15551	118, 800, 00	64		4251	2, 800. 0
8.0	**********	15552	58, 100, 00	44		4265	2, 000. 0
1 **	***************************************	15553	142, 550, 00 57, 500, 00	44		4266	40, 000. 0
4.6	************	15554	110, 600, 00	44		4320	35, 000, 6
10		15555	30, 350, 00	44		4321	9, 340. 0
66	**********	15566	26, 619, 21	64		4321 4402	2, 300. 0
14	**********	15567	33, 755, 84	37 "		4403	5, 000, 0 5, 000, 0
4.6	***********	15568 15569	17, 940, 69	44		4404	8, 000. 0
0.0		15570	16, 633, 75 6, 843, 60	44		4439	2, 750. 0
1.6		15571	48, 356, 69	- 64		4507	22, 000, 0
86	************	15586	31, 905, 00	6.6		4508	3, 000, 0
44	***********	15589	84, 206, 38	41		4583	6, 000, 0
44	**********	15590	31, 867, 07	44		4671 4693	1, 000. 0
44	*********	15591	31, 999, 10	44		4724	22, 000, 0 4, 500, 0
44	***********	15592	31, 351. 01	44		4818	410. 0
0.0		15593 15594	32, 161, 08 32, 727, 55	44		4819	1, 650, 0
66		15595	65, 380, 00	41		4820	1, 150, 0
66		15596	33, 080, 00	46		1856	3, 850. 0
44		15622	60, 166, 65	44		4891 4892	35, 000. 00
46	***********	15623	58, 479, 52	64	***************************************	4933	32, 000. 00
44		15725	29, 641, 34	44	*************	4936	4, 833, 19 4, 500, 00
		15726	149, 276, 59	14	*********	4981	460.00
**	**********	15727 15777	26, 442, 00	~ ~		5259	20, 000. 00
44	*************	15778	112, 821, 94 29, 490, 37	Harwo	od Palmer	3120	15, 150, 00
**	***********	15780	31, 627, 88	Hiloni	an	3120	51, 350, or
4.6		15781	31, 509, 07	6.6		2802	1, 952, 00
60		15782	31, 509, 07 29, 085, 30	5.0		2990	3, 000, 00
4.4		15783	59, 399, 84	66		2992	12, 334, 00 35, 425, 00
84		15784	61, 639. 92	46		2996	6, 600, 00
64	***********	15785 15786	59, 929, 02	41		3027	660.00
5-9		15787	31, 050, 00 28, 850, 00	44		3027	230. O
44	***********	15820	14, 870, 00	38 "		3027	1, 580. 00
66	***********	15821	14, 870, 00 11, 705, 00	66	**********	3027	1, 310, 00
44	**********	15822	58, 230, 00	44		3027 3027	4, 190, 59
46	*********	15823	15, 476, 00	5.6	***********	3027	\$65, 00
66	**********	15841	69, 861, 00	*6	*************	3090	1, 800, 00
64		15851 15852	28, 111, 04	66	***************************************	3091	900.00
44	110111210000000	15853	27, 279, 35 54, 511, 18	44	01000000000000000	3092	1, 100, 00
44		15854	54, 487, 41	66	**********	3093	1, 800, 00
00		17954	27, 160, 53	44	000000000000000	3094	1,400.00
66	***********	15955	27, 493, 22	64	***********	3181	3, 110, 00
44	*********	15993	42, 629, 92	24	***********	3182	5, 365, 60
44		15994	14, 114, 92	66	***********	3183 3195	8, 240, 00
44	***********	15995	28, 847, 58	6.6	Annonne meneral	3310	35, 000, 00
44	***********	16012	14, 162, 00	4.6	***********	3330	800, 00 1, 100, 00
44	***********	16013	29, 000, 00	44	************	3381	285, 00
44	**********	16014 16068	29, 400, 00	46		3382	1, 100, 00
66	***********	16295	28, 150, 00 26, 143, 50	**	***********	3383	1, 450, 00
0.0		AVADO	and a track and all			3384	1, 250, 00

	Vessel		Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.		Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A
Hiloni	an		3386	\$600, 00	Lizzie	E. Dennison	3675-8	860, 461.
4.6			3388	1, 825, 00	Madru	gada	19348	71, 527. 0
60			3389	140.00	Magnu	s Manson	2461	93, 960.
88			3417	24, 719, 52	Navajo New Y		4985, -8	922
94			3497	280, 00	New Y		2460 2460	662
86		6	3645 3679	2, 800. 60	64		2483	16,992
66	900		3680	80.00	- 00		2702	143.3
99			3681	25, 00	Orlean		5519	3, 750, 0
39	I. L. Lucke	nbach	10266	109.95	0.0	*******	5719	4, 700.0
90 0	8.6		10267	161. 48	00		5720	1, 575, 6
	84		10301	1,880.41	40		5721	40, 200, 0
	6.6		10333	27, 45	90		5761	18, 300. 0
	6.8		10346	892, 87	49 11		5823	3, 460, 6
	64		10065	182.60	42		5852	11, 600. 6
	89		10377	25, 25	10		3855	2, 200.
	84		10437	453, 78	**		3856	400.1
	60		10463	14, 82 145, 57	9.0		5961 5862	1, 300, 0
	94		10490	63, 12	19		5963	400.
	99		10494-10495	42.64	94		3863 3868	1,500.
	69	0 * 2 *	10494-10405	92.56	0.0		5865	5, 200.
	69		10614	81, 60	0.0		3867	2,000.
	69	****	10618-10619	143.71	04		3868	1, 200.
	6.0		10990	1, 217, 86	99		5889	400.
	99		10751	104, 41	0.0		5870	600.1
	89		10753	78, 69	0.6		3943	35, 550,
Cansa	n		5130	279, 154, 17	14		6808	35, 000.
0.0			5483	1, 200, 00	- 68	**************	6810	78, 910.
8.0			5494	19, 150, 00	Roches	1er	10130	100,
6.6			5485	12, 700, 00			10130	100.
86			8828	1, 300, 00	65		10130	100,
66			5524	4, 750, 00 7, 000, 00	- 11		10130 10130	100.
86			5825 6153	2, 700, 00	49		10130	100.
66			6168	6, 260, 00	44		10130	100.
9.0			6301	5, 600, 00	99		10130	100.
69			6302	850.00	44	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10130	100.0
89			6346	162, 680, 60	4.0		10130	100,0
10 44	0		6348	162, 680, 60 27, 720, 60 13, 272, 00	- 00		10130	100.0
14			6349	13, 272, 00	44		10130	50.6
lewis.	Luckenbac	h	7773	189, 000, 00 8, 000, 00	2.2		10130	100.0
	6.6	0	7774	8, 000, 00	43		10130	100.
	64		8943	73, 500, 00	03		10130	100.0
	88		9324	5, 093, 18	43		10130	100,0
	99		9325	67, 984, 08	99		10130	100,0
	69		9655 9665	2, 200, 00 14, 467, 59	94		10130	100.0
	88		9666	8, 052, 60	0.0		10130	100.
	69	0 0 - 0 0	19987	3, 544, 78	Rockin	gham	2505	15, 150, 0
	60	4	9658	108, 000, 00	9.0		2323	15, 150.0 6, 500.0
	84		9772	1, 924, 98	41		2481	24, 691, 8
	8.4	90.00	9772	4, 392, 38	99		2481	819,1
	89		9772	4, 392, 38 1, 464, 86	44		2451	1, 074.
	66		9772	1, 918, 40	41		2481	22, 440. 4, 200.
	80		9773	4, 868, 00	99		2485	4, 200,
	80		9827	18, 000, 00	41		2582	2, 200, 0
	66		10040	2, 985, 00	11		2615	16, 000.0
	69	00	10041	2, 985, 00	99		2615 2850	EL, UKKL
	44		10042	7, 255, 85	98		3186	4, 000. 7, 363.
	80		10043	15, 343, 44 31, 141, 00	49	0	3199	306.0
	64	4	10045	11, 103, 00	24		3208	330, 000.
	44	****	10046	18, 568, 00	Schuyl	kill	9260	3,000.0
	4.6	*****	10056	6, 600, 00			9559	1, 6000 (
	44	****	10070	31, 800, 00	44		9735	15, 200, 0
	66	0.0000	10071	5, 750, 00	**		9944	0, 406.
9	68		10072	14, 200, 00	96		9945	Δ, 320, 0
	43		10073	60.00	62	**********	9937	5, 325, 0 6, 807, 0
	44		10074	26, 000, 00	5.5		10068	6, 897, 0
	84		10075	18, 000. 00	44 10		10000	1, 200, 0
	84	00000	10076	650, 00	44		10069	1, 271, 9
	64	00.00	10146	2,700.00	11		10000	4, 200, 0
	69		10152-3	110, 903, 30	44		10000	6, 825.0
		00	10185-6		44		10069	9, 275, 0
	44		10183-6	61, 990, 00	93	00.10000000000	10000	1, 128, 0
	44	****	10187	10, 708, 00	4.6	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	10049	1, 200.
	86		10188	10, 708, 00 31, 105, 00	44	613065668860+6	10040	1 200 6
	66	*****	10211	15, UURL UN 1	66	**********	10000	3 764 (
	68	00000	10270	7, 200, 00	69	**********	10069	4, 2000
	89		10400	300.00	99		10040	3, 300.0
	0.0		16566	67, 232, 61	44		10069	1,000.0

		Vesnel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.		Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.
8e	huyli	cit)	10127	82,000.00	Schuyli	im	10701	## 000 O
	24	9 * 9 * * 9 * * * 9 * * 9	10230	11, 800, 00			10594	\$6,600.00
	84	********	10290	1, 341, 05	43	************	10594	7, 830, 94
			10296	25, 700, 00	91		10645	14, 000, 00
	0.0		10297	14, 000, 00	64	**********	10646	
	66		10304	23, 665, 23	0.5		10648	17, 200, 00
	4-8	***********	10334	6, 000, 00	84	**********	10649	3, 000.00
	10		10335	9, 000, 00	64	**********	10651	12, 400, 60
	80		10360	7, 550, 00	41	A542431-1-1212	10671	3, 000, 00
	4-8		10341	3, 000, 00	- 01			5, 900, 00
	8.6		10369	19, 207, 50	01	*********	10671	2, 100, 00
	6.5		10371	6, 000, 00	91		10671	700, 00
	6.6	***********	10388	9, 800, 00	61	*********	10671	5, 600, 00
	9-6		10394-5	4, 917, 80	91.	***********	10683	1, 000, 00
	0.0	**********	10402-3	19, 900, 00	0.0	*********	10686	4, 500, 00
	84		10405	600, 00	40	**********	10696	5, 800, 00
	0.0	************	10435	11, 909, 55			10697	1, 900, 00
15	0.5		10436	4, 300, 00		((0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	10698	1, 800, 00
	6.0	************	10448	24, 000, 00	80	*********	10699	584, 61
	0-8	***************************************	10473	4, 000, 00	64		10701	3, 000, 00
	66		10474	4, 500, 60	**	*********	10702	8, 000, 00
	16		10473	21, 912, 00	60		10714	816, 00
	9-5	********	10476		21	***********	10714	6, 300, 00
	80	** * * * * * *	10477	4, 019, 00	91		10714	4, 057, 97
	14	********	10478	6, 845, 00			10718	4, 300, 00
	99.			2, 235, 00	91	*****************	10724	26, 500, 00
	66	*******	10479	1, 325.00	00	enfections and	10725	4, 950, 00
	64		10480	1, 461. 00	04	***************************************	10765	396, 03
	0.9		10501	600, 00			10791	5, 289, 27
	8.6	*********	10507	16, 260, 00	47 "	THE REAL PROPERTY.	10792	35, 500, 00
	0.0	**********	10508	5, 049, 00		***************************************	11242	2, 000, 00
	0.0		10509	8, 013, 25	81		10811	19, 467, 54
	6-0		10210	4, 974, 91	61	************	10822	8, 500, 00
	80		10511	1,991.00	91	*************	10929	78, 039, 57
	86		10512	6, 900, 00	01		10933	13, 100, 00
	80		10513	5, 600, 00	01		10041	19, 000, 00
	10		10514	1, 424, 11	66		10949	937. 27
	0.0		10515	3, 800, 00	00.		10952	600, 00
	80		10527	4, 383, 44	0.0		10964	24, 649, 13
	60		10552	550, 00	00		10965	4, 950, 27
	0.0	*********	10601	6, 000, 00	0.0	***************************************	11019	2, 596, 35
	0.0		10002	2, 300, 00	8.0	***********	11261	20, 000, 00
	80	**********	10594	2, 260, 00	49	JINNESSTOR	11284	32, 285, 00
	60	**********	10394	4, 900, 00	Stanley	M. Scaman	- Carry	comp mercer (per
	80		10094	8, 076, 92	(hull)		19323	1, 875, 00
	80		10594	2,000.00				1,010.00
	60		10394	1,740.00	To	tal		9, 269, 215, 65
3	40		10394	1, 100, 66	-		*********	er wast were no

48

Ехнівіт С

Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.	Vessel	Policy No.	Amount of claim paid by U. S. A.
Wm. P. Frye (hull) Evelyn (hull) Carib (hull)	34 94 636 637 370	\$10,000.00 1,500.00 100,000.00 241,961.57 22,235.56	Healdton (hull) Edwin R. Hunt (hull) New York (hull) Vacuum (hull)	2364	\$48, 888, 82 49, 776, 67 164, 051, 49 909, 976, 60
llinois (hull) Healdton (hull)	2270 1878	250, 000, 00 450, 000, 00			2, 338, 440, 71

Ехнівіт D

ulmance	624		
" " tlantic Sun		Carrasco, Pio M.	\$1, 50
" " tlantic Sun	624		1, 50 1, 50
tlantic Sun		Fujiwara, Shintaro	1, 50
tlantic Sun		Ito, L. (Lyujiro or Ryujiro)	1, 50 1, 50
44	624 724	Figure, Laurie Fujiwara, Shintaro Ito, L. (Lyujiro or Ryujiro) Utsunomiya, Magoichiro Daniels, Chailes T., ir Glass, Harry H. (injury) Hertoge, Henri Clement Johnson, David (detention) Oliver, Albert (detention)	1, 50
44	724	Glass Harry H (injury)	1, 50
************	724	Hertoge, Henri Clement	2, 52
**	724	Johnson, David (detention)	2, 08
ampana	163	Oliver, Albert (detention)	4, 05
hincha	811		1,50
44 ************************************	811	Ogami, Kurakichi	1, 50 1, 50
N. Luckenbach	811 286	Yamamoto, B. Chronis, Demosthenes (Demivis)	1, 20
. N. Luckenbach	286	Evangelages Denis	1, 50
**		Olsen, Trygve Frederik Pennea, Albert A Villianos, Peter (Panagatos, Villianos D.)	2, 52
44	286	Pennea, Albert A	2, 52 2, 28
44	286	Villianos, Peter (Panagatos, Villianos D.)	1, 50
orence H	_ 906	Amiot, Manuel Alvarez y Beans, John	1, 50
"	906	Beans, John	2, 52
**********		Bentley, Edmund Butterfield, Fred. J	1, 50 4, 50
44		Collins Martin L.	1.50
**	906	Collins, Martin L. Cudahy, Howard L. Geldart, Leonard Brown. Goodwin, Carl L.	2, 16
**	906	Geldart, Leonard Brown	1, 50
14		Goodwin, Carl L	1,50
44	906	NALO, 5	1, 50
"	. 906	Lamoreaux, Joseph L., jr	1, 50
		Matsumoto, T	1, 50
**********		Mari (Mari) Chukishi	1, 50
44	906	Miyake, Tersuichiro. Moti, (Moni) Chukichi Novoa, Oscar S. (A). Pausche, John (Jahn Arnold).	1, 50
**		Pausche, John (Jahn Arnold)	1, 50
44		Overington, Russell	2, 34
44	906	Randle, Arthur W	1, 50
***		Rondoni, Basilio	1, 50
44 ***********		Santos, C. F. (Canuto Ferreira don)	1, 50
**********		Overington, Russell, Randle, Arthur W. Rondoni, Basilio. Santos, C. F. (Canuto Ferreira don). Scardace, Carlo. Simpson, Charles. Tamura, Noboru. Umetsu, 8 Wasnak, Joseph Andrew. West, Percy D. (Injury). Yamagata, H. Yamaguchi, Suyetaro. Toshisawa, Elmatsu.	1, 50 1, 50
		Compson, Charles	1, 50
44		Umoten 8	1, 50
44		Wasnak, Joseph Andrew	1,50
44	906	West, Percy D. (injury)	75
44	906	Yamagata, H	1, 50
	906	Yamaguchi, Suyetaro	1, 50
	906	Toshisawa, Eimatsu. Ciechowski, John Joseph.	1,50
reida	1403 1754	Corlean John	1, 50 1, 50
rederic R. Kellogg	1754	Carlsen, John Hamilton, Albert Myron (Johnson, Samuel L.). Kramer, James. Jorgensen, Axel Albert Souze, (Sousa or Souga) Francisco de	2, 10
44	1754	Kramer, James	1, 87
"	1754	Jorgensen, Axel Albert	1, 50
**	1754	Souze, (Sousa or Souga) Francisco de	1, 50
L. Luckenbach	250	Souze, (Sousa or Souga) Francisco de. Saunders, Drew B. (injury). Aguirre, Florention. Hanan, Charle. Kua, Alexander P. Murphy, Jeremiah M. Ainsleigh, Charles (injury). Anderssan (Anderson) Lennart. Erickson, Lennart.	2, 25 1, 50
ansan	. 8	Aguirre, Florentino	1, 50
46	8 8	Hanan, Charle	1,000
11		Murnhy Jaremich M	1, 500 2, 70
arge Lansford		Ainsleigh, Charles (injury)	950
ewis Luckenbach	223	Anderssan (Anderson) Lennart.	1, 50
14	223	Etickson, John	1 956
44	. 223	Hassell, George Benjamin	1, 500 2, 310
4		McCants, L. S.	2, 31
	. 223	Nissenson, Irving J	1, 500 1, 500
		McCants, L. S. Nissenson, Irving J Peterson, Wallace. Watkins, J. B. Gllow, Edward.	4, 50
otano		Gilow Edward	1, 500
14	51		1, 506
44		Giegory, Harry	1, 50
44	. 51	Gregory, Harry Harwood, W Haugaard, Julius C	1.80
44	51	Haugaard, Julius C	1, 98
***************************************	. 51	Larsen, Karl	1, 50
44		Lohse, Renaldo R	2, 250 1, 500
		Larsen, Karl Lohse, Renaldo R Lundquist, Karl David Madsen, Marius Michael	1, 50
14		Norderea John Isidor	1, 50
44		Roshud, Walter	1, 500
44		Nordgrea, John Isidor Roslund, Walter Thorne, Vernon S Tuber, Robert	1.500
44	51	Tuber, Robert	1, 500
44	. 51	Williams, Rubin. Williams, Russell A. Winter, Joseph.	1. 50
14	. 51 51	Williams, Russell A	1, 50 1, 50

	Vessel	Policy No. S. I.	Name of insured	Amoun claim p by U. S
	nnings	1925	Bastin, Rene (detention).	\$90
	**********	1925	Bastin, Rene (detention)	1, 50 1, 50
		503	Garcia Jesus	1 50
**		503	Jacobson, Albert	1, 50
Inturia		310	Jacobson, Albert Watts, Nathan R. (injury) Escriche, Alberto Jarque (Gargie, Alberto) Halpern, L	1, 50
6.6	***************	310	Halpern, L.	1, 50
41.	*******	310		
**	*************	310	Jones, James	1, 62
**	************	310	Jones, James. Leslie, John Whittier, Harold W Ampuero, Antonio Avendano. Bulgarea, George Diaz, Angustin Mariguez	4, 05
oohoet	er	310 567	Whittier, Harold W	1, 500
**	OA	567	Rulgerea George	1,500
8.6		567	Diaz. Augustin Mariguez	1, 500
**	*************	567		2, 520
46		567		1,500
**	*******	567	Helm, Verner L. Hellstrom, Nils Ragnar Casian (B). Hinman, William B.	1, 500
44	*************	567 567	Hellstrom, Nils Ragnar Casian (B)	1, 500
44	*************	567	Kokoritz Frik	1, 500 5, 000
4.6		567	Madsen, Thor	1, 500
		567	Kokeritz, Erik Madsen, Thor Margeli, Benjamin.	1, 500
**		567	Ohman, Ernest	1,500
44	**********	567	Onman, Ernest Petersen, Axel Wheeler, Rex S. Annoni, Natali Birdsali, Bergen G. Chambers, Esau Emanuel. Cobb. Harry	1, 500
	1	567 2783	Wheeler, Rex S.	1,500
4.5	***********	2783 2783	Rirdeall Borgon (1, 500 3, 600
44	*************	2783	Chambers, Esan Emanuel	1, 500
4.4	************	2783	Cobb, Harry. Cobb, Harry. Cyntje, Juancito Assencion (Cyntye, Juaneto). Domingo, Legideus Maria (Rosal, Theodore). Downie, John L. Henrique, Theofial Confess, (Haggiangs, Philip.)	2, 173
44	*************	2783	Cyntje, Juancito Assencion (Cyntye, Juaneto)	2, 173 1, 500
	************	2783	Domingo, Legideus Maria (Rosal, Theodore)	1.500
44		2783 2783	Downie, John L.	1, 500
44		2783	Harriquez Pol (Pel)	1, 500 1, 500
44	***************	2783	Lange, Pablo De	1, 500
4.6	************	2783	Lapiento, Don Domingo	1, 500
44	**********	2783	Lazcano, Rosamel	1,500
**		2783	Longueiro, Manuel	1, 500
44	*************	2783 2783	McPride William I	1, 500
**	************	2783	McBride, William J	2, 550 1, 500
4.4		2783	Miller Frank	1, 950
4.6		2783	Mothersill (Manning) Stephen.	1, 500
64	*********	2783	Mosquira, Manuel	1, 500
44	************	2783	Peterson, Pablo	1, 500
**		2783	Quintans, Manuel Nolla (Noya)	1, 500
0.6		2783 2783	Downie, John L Henrique, Theofiel Confesor (Henriquez, Philip) Henriquez, Pol (Fel) Lange, Pablo De Laplento, Don Domingo Lazcano, Rosamel Lorenzo, Ansel McBride, William J Maceiva, Jose M Miller, Frank Mothersill (Manning) Stephen Mosquira, Manuel Peterson, Pablo Quintans, Manuel Nolla (Noya) Ray, Arthur Richards, Ralph	1, 500
4.6	**************	2783	Richards, Ralph. Robinson, Joseph. Terkelsen, Harry (Bjorn) Villanueva, Julio Sesar.	1, 725 1, 500
**	**************	2783	Terkelsen, Harry (Bjorn)	1, 500
**	*************	2783	Villanueva, Julio Sesar	1, 500
44	******	2783		1 500
	8	2783 603	West, Fred	1, 500.
**		603	West, Fred Bodin, Viktor Leonard Carroll, Bridges Dablbage Butter	1, 500. 1, 500.
44	*************	603	Dahlberg, Peter	1,500
4.6	*************	603	Danielson, Theodor.	1, 500. 1, 500.
**	*********	603	Danielson, Theodor Danielson, Theodor Ferreira, Antonio Ferreirs, Joseph (Freyyer James) Golden, Frank Gudmundsen, Gudmund	1, 500.
	***********	603	Freris, Joseph (Freyyer James)	1, 500.
**	************	603	Gudmundsen Gudmund	1, 500.
6.6	***************************************		The state of the s	1, 500. 1, 500.
44	**************	603	Head, Richard James Jakobsson, J. A. Jennings, John Wilberforce Johnson, Bernt. Johnson, B. F. Johnson, Hugh Marcus. Lopes, Jose (Josa) Miquel. Maguregui, Victoriano. Mayorai, Pedro Alvoro Lopez. Movilla, Jose M.	1, 500.
44	*************	603	Jennings, John Wilberforce	1, 500.
44	***********	603	Johnson, Bernt	1, 500.
44	***********	603	Johnson, B. F	1, 500.
44		603 603	Johnson, Hugh Marcus	1, 500.
44	***********	603	Maguregui Victoriano	1, 500. 1, 500.
6.6	**************	603	Mayoral, Pedro Alvoro Lopez	1, 500.
66	*************	603	Movilla, Jose M	1, 500.
66	********	603	Nutt, Joseph	1.500
44	***********	603	Ochando, Ramon N	1, 500.
**	************	603	Nutt. Joseph. Ochando, Ramon N. Rocosa, Ramon Rocosa y. Saunders, William Henry.	1, 500. 1, 971.
Tyl	er			1, 971. 1, 500.
11	*************	1088	Kawamoto, Fukumatsu	1, 500.
64	************	1088	Knowlton, Clarence	1, 500.
44	***********	1088	Kawamoto, Fukumatsu Knowiton, Clarence. Mears, E. W Morera, Jose Rodrigues. Posse, Fernando.	2, 280.
44	************	1088	Murera, Jose Rodriguez	1, 500.
	**********	1088	Posse, Fernando	1, 500.
-	d			272, 667.

Filed May 21, 1924

Now comes the plaintiff, The Equitable Trust Company of New York, by and through its attorneys, and moves this honorable court to strike out the suggestion of the United States of America filed herein by its Attorney General upon the following grounds:

 Because the facts therein alleged are immaterial and irrelevant to this cause, and that the said suggestion is insufficient in law to

maintain a claim on behalf of the United States.

Because the said suggestion alleges no facts which, if true, constitute a defense, set-off, or counterclaim to the cause of action

alleged in the bill of complaint.

3. Because any alleged indebtedness of the United States of America or of other creditors of the Imperial German Government, as set forth in said suggestion, is not now before this court, and is therefore not entitled to be set up as a defense to the plaintiff's claim in this suit.

THE EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK, By McKenney & Flannery, Its Attorneys of Record.

Order

Filed May 21, 1924

This cause having come on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff heretofore filed herein to strike out the suggestion of the United States of America filed herein by the Attorney General of the United States, and the court having considered the said suggestion and the facts therein brought to its attention, it is

this 21st day of May, A. D., 1924. Adjudged and ordered that the said motion to strike out suggestion be and the same is hereby granted.

WENDELL P. STAFFORD, Justice.

I consent as to form.

DEAN HILL STANLEY, Counsel for Defendants.

From the foregoing order the United States of America notes an appeal in open court to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia.

WENDELL P. STAFFORD, Justice.

Assignment of errors

Filed May 22, 1924

Now comes the United States of America by Harlan F. Stone, Attorney General of the United States of America, and files the following assignment of errors upon which it will rely upon its appeal from the order and decree made by this honorable court on the 21st day of May, 1924, in the above-entitled cause:

First: That the court erred in ordering that the motion to strike out the suggestion of the United States of America filed in the said cause by the Attorney General of the United States of America on

the 11th day of April, 1924, be granted.

Second: That the court erred in not overruling the motion to strike out the suggestion of the United States of America filed in the said cause by the Attorney General of the United States of America on the 11th day of April, 1924.

Third: That the court erred in not granting the prayers contained in the said suggestion of the United States of America filed by the Attorney General of the United States of America on the 11th day of April, 1924.

Fourth: That the court erred in not adjudging, ordering, and decreeing that the United States of America is a "person" within the meaning of that word as used in section 9 of the trading with the

enemy act as amended.

Fifth: That the court erred in not adjudging, ordering, and decreeing that the claim of the United States set forth in the suggestion of the United States of America filed as aforesaid is a proper claim, within the meaning of section 9 of the trading with the enemy act

as amended.

Sixth: That the court erred in not adjudging, ordering, and decreeing that the United States of America is entitled to have paid out of any money or other property held by the Alien Property Custodian or the Treasurer of the United States, which money or other property was at the time of the receipt thereof by the Alien Property Custodian or the Treasurer of the United States, the money or other property of the Imperial German Government, the amount of its debt against the Imperial German Government, as set forth in the said suggestion.

Seventh: That the court erred in not adjudging, ordering, and decreeing that any claim of the United States against the Imperial German Government is entitled to priority of payment out of any

money or other property held by the Alien Property Custodian, and/or the Treasurer of the United States, which at the date of the receipt thereof by the said Custodian, and/or the Treasurer, was the money or other property of the Imperial German Government.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, By HARLAN F. STONE, Attorney General of the United States of America.

Service of a copy of the above acknowledged this 22d day of May, 1924.

> McKenney & Flannery, Attorney for the Plaintiff.

Designation of record

Filed May 22, 1924

The clerk will please prepare a transcript of the record on appeal in the above-entitled case, and include therein the following:

Bill of complaint.
Suggestion filed by the United States of America by the Attorney
General of the United States of America on the 11th day of April,

Motion to strike out the suggestion of the United States of America

filed by the Attorney General of the United States of America.

Order granting motion to strike out the suggestion of the United States of America filed by the Attorney General of the United States of America with the notation of appeal thereon.

Assignment of errors.

60

61

This designation of record.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, By HARLAN F. STONE,

Attorney General of the United States of America.

Service of a copy of the above acknowledged this 22d day of May, 1924.

McKenney & Flannery, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Supreme Court of the District of Columbia

United States of America, District of Columbia, | 88:

I, Morgan H. Beach, clerk of the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, hereby certify the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to 60, both inclusive, to be a true and correct transcript of the record, according to directions of counsel herein filed, copy of which is made part of this transcript, in cause No. 42270 in Equity, wherein The Equitable Trust Company of New York is plaintiff and Thomas Woodnutt Miller, Alien Property Custodian, and Frank White, Treasurer of the United States, are defendants, as the same remains upon the files and of record in said court.

In testimony whereof, I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said court, at the city of Washington, in said District, this 3rd day of September, 1924.

[SEAL.] MORGAN H. BEACH,

[SEW Clerk.

| Clerk. | Normal Supreme Court. | Norm

(Indorsed on cover:) District of Columbia Supreme Court. No. 4207. United States of America, Appellant, vs. The Equitable Trust Company of New York, a corporation.

(Stamped on cover:) Court of Appeals, District of Columbia. Filed Sept. 4, 1924. Henry W. Hodges, Clerk.

In Court of Appeals of District of Columbia

No. 4207 United States of America, appellant, vs. The Equitable Trust Company of New York, a corporation.

No. 4208 Thomas Woodnutt Miller, Alien Property Custodian, and Frank White, Treasurer of the United States, appellants, vs. The Equitable Trust Company of New York, a corporation.

Argument of cause

January 7, 1925

The argument in the above entitled causes was commenced by Mr. Dean H. Stanley, attorney for the appellants, and was concluded by Mr. F. D. McKenney, attorney for the appellee. On motion the appellants are allowed to file a reply brief herein.

In Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia

Opinion.

[Title omitted.]
Before Robb and Van Orsdel, Associate Justices; Smith,
Judge, United States Court of Customs Appeals.

Mr. Justice VAN ORSDEL delivered the opinion of the court:

These cases are here on appeal from final decrees of the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia against appellants White, as Treasurer of the United States, and Miller, as Alien Property Custodian, defendants below, in which White, as Treasurer, is required, in cases numbered 4202, 4204, 4206, 4208, 4217, 4219, 4221, 4223, 4225, 4227, and 4229, to pay to the plaintiff in each case certain sums of money out of funds in the Treasury of the United States seized and held by him as property of the Imperial German Government.

These suits were brought in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia by the holders of certain notes against the Imperial German Government, issued and sold by Germany prior to the entrance of the United States into the war. The notes were payable in American currency on April 1, 1917, five days prior to the declaration of war between the United States and Germany. Upon payment of interest in advance the maturity of the notes was extended

to April 1, 1918.

21

22

Concededly the notes sued on constituted a debt within the meaning of the provisions of sec. 9 of the trading with the enemy act as amended, 42 Stats. 1511. It is averred in the bills of the respective plaintiffs that the Alien Property Custodian now has in his possession or to his credit in the Treasury of the United States, funds of the Imperial German Government which were paid and delivered to him under the provisions of the trading with the enemy act, and which are available by law and sufficient in quantity to pay plaintiffs' claims both principal and interest.

Defendants, Miller and White, filed motions to dismiss the bills of complaint upon the grounds that the Imperial German Government, or its successor, is a necessary party to the suits, and that pursuant to the terms and provisions of the trading with the enemy act and the treaties between the United States and Germany, the United States is the owner of the moneys which plaintiffs seek in these suits to subject to the payment of their claims. The motions to dismiss were overruled and defendants answered admitting the citizenship and residence of the plaintiffs; that plaintiffs are within the meaning of sec. 9 of the trading with the enemy act, and that the Imperial German Government is an enemy within the But as to other allegations in the bills, strict meaning of the act. proof was demanded.

After answers were filed by defendants White and Miller, the Attorney General filed in each case a suggestion as to certain

rights of the United States, asserting in substance that the 24 German Government, as a result of the war, is heavily indebted to the United States; that if the plaintiffs herein, and certain other claimants enumerated, are permitted to satisfy their claims against the fund now held in the Treasury of the United States to the account of the German Government, the said fund would be exhausted and there would be nothing from which the United States and other creditors could secure the payment of their claims, and that such a course would lead to an inequitable preference of cred-It is then prayed on behalf of the United States that the bills be dismissed; that the claim of the United States be declared a valid and existing indebtedness which the Treasurer should be ordered to pay out of the fund aforesaid; that the court award the United States priority over other claims, or that it be entitled to share pro rata with other claimants in the distribution of the fund; that the court take jurisdiction of the claim of the United States against the Imperial German Government, and that the court order the claim of the United States paid out of said fund.

The plaintiffs filed motions to strike out the suggestion upon the grounds, among others, that the suggestion put in issue matters existing between the German Government and the United States, neither of which are proper parties to these suits; that the United States is not authorized to file such notice of claims under sec. 9 of the trading with the enemy act; that the court is without jurisdiction to determine the right of the United States in respect of the claims set up against the Imperial German Government, and that it appears on the face of the suggestion that the claims asserted have been settled between the United States and Germany by treaty, and are therefore not matters within the jurisdiction of the court, but are matters for diplomatic intercourse and settlement between the respec-

tive sovereigns.

The court sustained the motions to strike, from which the United States has appealed in cases numbered 4201, 4203, 4205, 4207, 4216.

4218, 4220, 4222, 4224, 4226, and 4228.

The cases were heard on bill and answer, and certain evidence adduced establishing that there was on June 13, 1924, to the account of the Imperial German Government in the Treasury of the United States \$2,715,571, more than sufficient to satisfy the plaintiff's claims This sum was accounted for by record entries of the Treasury Department showing a fund of \$515,571, deposited by the Alien Property Custodian with the Treasurer, in Trust No. 555-Special, "Imperial German Government"; and the sum of \$2,200,000, transferred by order of the custodian March 9, 1923, from Trust No. 9322, "Undisclosed enemy No. 1," to Trust No. 555-Special, "Imperial German Government."

Three propositions are involved in these appeals:

First, is the Imperial German Government a necessary party to these suits?

Second, is the evidence adduced sufficient to establish the existence in the Treasury of the United States of a fund belonging to the Imperial German Government against which these claims may be asserted?

Third, has the United States the right to set up its claim against Germany as a defense in these suits, in order that it may lay claim to the funds in the Treasury which had been seized as funds of the

Imperial German Government?

We come now to the consideration of the necessity of making the German Nationals, successor of the Imperial German Government, a party defendant in the present cases. The seizure of any enemy's property is justified as an act of war. Two courses were open to the United States, in respect of property belonging to an enemy or ally of enemy, either to seize the property and conserve it for future disposition, or to confiscate it. Miller v. United States, 11 Wall. 268. In either case the action of the Government would be sustained. Indeed the property of any enemy or ally of enemy, seized under the trading with the enemy act, so far as its return is concerned, is in a state of confiscation, since Congress specifically reserved to itself its future disposition. The property here in question, concededly enemy property, would be, but for sec. 9, the property of the United States, subject to whatever disposition Congress might deem proper. The seizure of the funds in question divested the German Government of all title or interest therein, and their subsequent disposition is a matter with which it is not concerned. Munich Reinsurance Co. v. First Reinsurance Co. of Hartford, 300 Fed. 345.

Section 9 of the trading with the enemy act is a remedial measure, affording the method by which property wrongfully seized may be restored to its proper owner; or by which debts "owing from an enemy or ally of enemy" may be recovered out of the property seized. To this extent, the United States has relinquished all claims it might otherwise have asserted under confiscation. Recovery under the act may be had either through executive allowance or by decree of a court. In all proceedings, however, against the funds so seized and held, the Alien Property Custodian or the Treasurer of the United States, or both, are made by the statute the proper defendants. The Alien Property Custodian stands in the relation of a common law trustee. His appearance as defendant furnishes all the

protection to which the parties are entitled.

The silence of the trading with the enemy act, as to the right or necessity of an enemy or ally of enemy to be made a party defendant, is significant. The act in sec. 2, among other things, defines the term "enemy" as follows: "The word 'enemy,' as used herein, shall be deemed to mean, for the purposes of such trading and of this act

* * *. (b) The government of any nation with which the United States is at war, or any political or municipal subdivision thereof, or any officer, official, agent, or agency thereof." The act further defines the meaning of the term "person" and the meaning and significance of the words "United States." The German Government, therefore, was an enemy of the United States, within the terms of the trading with the enemy act.

The object of sec. 9 was to give a speedy and efficient remedy to nonenemy persons against losses and inconvenience liable

to result from the strict enforcement of the act. To have required the joinder of the enemy-owner, as a party defendant in each instance, would have amounted to a denial of remedy to non-enemy creditors. This situation was well known and manifest to Congress. It may well be that an enemy-owner, for example the German Government in the present cases, could, upon request, be made a party defendant. McVeigh v. United States, 11 Wall. 259. But this could only be done at the request of the sovereign itself. No power resides in Congress or in the courts to require the sovereignty of Germany to become a party defendant in any action. This could only occur through the act of the sovereign itself.

It follows, therefore, that inasmuch as the German Government can not be brought into court, except at its own election, the court would be without jurisdiction of these cases, and the act would be a mere nullity. It is elementary that debts due from a friendly nation can not be enforced in the courts of the creditor nation.

In proper sequence the contention that the United States is a proper party to these suits may next be considered. This is urged substantially upon two grounds: First, that the United States may enforce a prior claim against this money in the hands of the defendants in order to protect itself against losses sustained during the war. Second, that the fund should be held by the defendants to satisfy claims arising under the provisions of the treaty of peace made between Germany and the United States on August 25, 1921, which embraces all persons, citizens of the United States, who suffered damages and injuries to person or property at the hands of

the Imperial German Government.

Again, the silence of the trading with the enemy act, as to the necessity of the United States being made a party to suits brought under sec. 9, and the specific designation of the Alien Property Custodian or the Treasurer or both as defendants, is significant. nowhere provided in the act that enemy-funds in the possession of the defendants may be subjected to the payment of claims due the United States. Nor do we think that the United States is a "person," as mentioned in sec. 9 of the act, or such a party as can take advantage of the provisions thereof. It may be suggested that if, as contended, the United States can assert a claim in the courts against this fund, the action should be brought by the United States directly, it can not be asserted through intervention by way of suggestion, or by motion to dismiss in the present cases. In other words, the United States has no such interest in the fund here in question as can be affected by the present suits. The fund has been set aside by the act for the satisfaction of such claims as may be legally brought against it by claimants other than the United States. The United States has relinquished any interest it may have had in the

fund in favor of creditors of the enemy, in this instance the German Government. The claims here asserted, are, therefore, not against the United States. "While the suits, as held in Banco Mexicano v. Deutsche Bank, 263 U. S. 591, 603 (affirming 289 Fed. 924), is one against the United States, the claim was not against it. No debt was alleged to be owing from it to the plaintiff." Robertson, 000 U.S. 000. (October Term, 1924.) In other words, the rule of sovereign immunity from liability does not apply.

The contention that in addition to plaintiffs' claims there are outstanding claims being asserted against the fund in question, which in the aggregate amount to \$3,257,945, or more than the amount of the fund now in the Treasury, is of no importance. Section 9 (a), as amended, provides, among other things, as follows: "If suit shall be so instituted, then such money or property shall be retained in the custody of the Alien Property Custodian, or in the Treasury of the United States, as provided in this act, and until any final judgment or decree which shall be entered in favor of the claimant shall be fully satisfied by payment or conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery by the defendant, or by the Alien Property Custodian. or Treasurer of the United States on order of the court, or until final judgment or decree shall be entered against the claimant or suit otherwise terminated."

It is clear from the terms of the act that a suit brought under it is not in the nature of a creditor's bill calling for a marshalling of claims, no does it give any preference to one creditor over another, or call for a sharing pro rata with other creditors in the funds against which the claims are made. This court has no jurisdiction over the outstanding judgments, nor is it concerned with the ability of the fund in the Treasury to meet the various claims against it. The contentions of the Government in these particulars might have been provided for by Congress, but they were not, and the court

must apply the law as it finds it.

Coming now to the question of the sufficiency of the evidence adduced by plaintiffs to sustain the judgments of the court in the respective cases, there appears in paragraph 8 of the bill of complaint the following allegation: "That the Alien Property Custodian now has in his possession or to his credit in the Treasury of the United States funds of the Imperial German Government, which were paid and delivered him under the provisions of said trading with the enemy act, as amended, and which are available by law, sufficient to pay the entire indebtedness, both principal and past due

interest owing to complainant."

The defendants, answering this paragraph, alleged that they had no knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief with respect to the averments of paragraph 8 of the bill of complaint, and, therefore, demand strict proof thereof. In support of this averment of the plaintiffs, the answer filed by the defendants Miller and White in the case of the Mechanics Securities Corporation v. Frank White, as Treasurer of the United States, and Thomas W. Miller, as Alien Property Custodian, then pending in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, and submitted on this appeal as No. 4195, was offered and received in evidence over objection and exception by defendants. The answer in that case, made under oath by the defendants, set forth that the custodian had turned over to the Treasurer \$515,571, which was deposited in Trust No. 555—Special, "Imperial German Government"; and that there had been transferred to the same trust \$2,200,000 from Trust No. 9322, "Unknown enemy No. 1," making a total credit to Trust No. 555—Special, "Imperial German Government," of \$2,715,571. The status of these respective trusts was also shown by true copies of record entries from the Treasury Department, which were put in evidence.

This was unquestionably competent evidence and sufficient in character to establish a prima facie case as to the existence of funds seized from the Imperial German Government, and held in the Treasury against which the claims of plaintiffs could be asserted. As was said in Pope v. Allis, 115 U. S. 363, 370: "When a bill or answer in equity or a pleading in an action at law is sworn to by the party, it is competent evidence against him in another suit as a solemn admission by him of the truth of the facts stated. Studdy v. Sanders, 2 D. & R. 347; De Whelpdale v. Milburn, 5 Price 485; Central Bridge v. Lowell, 15 Gray 106; Bliss v. Nichols, 12 Allen 443; Elliott v. Hayden, 104 Mass. 180; Cook v. Barr, 44 N. Y. 156; Taylor on Evidence, sec. 1753, 7th ed.; Greenleaf Evidence, secs. 552, 555."

The truth of these statements is not controverted. The only attempt made by defendants to rebut this testimony was the offer of a copy of a letter, dated March 14, 1924, from the Alien Property Custodian to the Secretary of the Treasury, attempting to withdraw the instruction sent to the Secretary of the Treasury, under date of March 10, 1923, directing the transfer of the \$2,200,000 from Trust No. 9322 to Trust No. 555-Special, "Imperial German Government." The court sustained the objection of plaintiffs to the admission of this letter in evidence, to which ruling defendants excepted. It is contended by plaintiffs that it was beyond the power of the custodian to thus shift the fund during the pendency of these suits. Counsel for defendants on the contrary state in their brief that "the plaintiff in the cause must rely as to the two million two hundred thousand dollars above upon the instructions given by the custodian after the money had been seized as belonging to an unknown enemy. If the custodian had authority to change the ownership of the money at the time referred to in the original answer, he had just as much authority to change it and order it held to the credit of the unknown enemy again."

We are not impressed by this contention. The transfer of the \$2,200,000 to Trust No. 555-Special, "Imperial German Government," on March 8, 1923, was a determination by the custodian of the enemy ownership of the fund. It amounted to a finding after investigation, that the fund should be held "for, by, on account of, or on behalf of, or for the benefit of "the Imperial German Government. There was no change of the ownership by the custodian when the fund was transferred on March 8, 1923, since there is nothing in the record to indicate that the ownership up to that date had been specifically determined. The custodian, then exercising the power imposed upon the President, determined specifically the enemy ownership of this fund. In further support of the lack of evidencial effect of the letter of March 14, 1924, it contains no reasons, nor is it supported by any evidence, which challenges or affects the correct-

ness of the former determination of enemy ownership.

27

It was not within the power of the custodian to defeat the present actions, during their pendency in the court below, by his attempted transfer of the fund back to "unknown enemy Trust No. 9322." In case of suit, the statute itself provides for the retention of the money or property in the custody of the Alien Property Custodian or the Treasurer of the United States, to await the final judgment or decree. It is clear, therefore, that the custodian having determined the question of enemy ownership, and having designated the fund in the Treasury to which it belonged, could not, without at least good and sufficient reasons, by the mere transfer of this fund, so change the status of the property in litigation, as to destroy claimants's cause of

action while suit was pending.

In the appeals against the Equitable Trust Company of New York, Numbers 4207 and 4208, counsel for appellee company chailenge the right of the United States to prosecute an appeal in these cases under sec. 226 of the Code of the District of Columbia providing that "any party aggrieved by any final order, judgment, or decree of the Supreme Court of the District" may appeal to this The objection is based upon the ground that the United States "was not a party in any sense or aspect of the case in the court below, and it never at any time sought to have itself made a party by intervention or otherwise." Consequently there is no final order or decree from which it may appeal. We think this objection to the right of appeal by the United States must be sustained. petition was filed in the court below by the United States for right to intervene, nor can the suggestion filed be treated as a petition for intervention. The order striking the suggestion from the files was a mere interlocutory order, which could not furnish the basis for a separate appeal. The attempt here made by the United States is to conduct separate appeals, and thereby avoid any connection with the original cases.

The lack of necessity for intervention by the United States is apparent. The Alien Property Custodian and the Treasurer are made defendants by the express terms of the act, and as such have power to defend the interests of the United States. This they have attempted to do in the original cases by motion to dismiss for lack of proper parties. In support of this motion they could have advanced all the reasons for making the United States a party defendant, that have been suggested in the paper sought to be filed in The appeals of the United States in these cases the court below.

will be dismissed.

27

Counsel have likewise challenged the right of appeal to this court by the Alien Property Custodian and the Treasurer, on the ground that the trading with the enemy act is a special statute and in the absence of specific provision for appeal, appeal can not be prosecuted under sec. 226 of the District Code. The act provides specifi-

cally for appeals in cases arising under it from the district courts of the United States to the Circuit Courts of Appeals, but makes no provision specifically for an appeal to this court from the judgment or decree in a suit instituted in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia.

Without stopping to review this contention at length, we are of opinion that it was the intention of Congress that a right of appeal should be retained in all cases brought under the act, and inasmuch

as many of these cases have been appealed and considered by this court, and a number of them on further appeal considered by the Supreme Court of the United States, without this objection having been heretofore interposed, we will refuse now to interrupt this course of procedure. We hold, therefore, that the right of appeal in these cases is within the provisions of sec. 226 of the District Code.

In the aforesaid cases in which the United States is appellant, the appeals are dismissed. In the cases in which Frank White, Treasurer of the United States, and Thomas W. Miller, Alien Property Custodian, are appellants, the decrees are affirmed with costs.

28 In Court of Appeals of District of Columbia

[Title omitted.]

Judgment

March 2, 1925

Appeal from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia. This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged, and decreed by this court that this appeal be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

Per Mr. Justice VAN ORSDEL.

MARCH 2, 1925.

Judge James F. Smith of the U. S. Court of Customs Appeals sat in this case in the place of Mr. Chief Justice Martin.

29 In Court of Appeals of District of Columbia

[Title omitted.]

Petition for appeal

Filed May 2, 1925

Now comes your petitioner, the United States of America by John G. Sargent, Attorney General of the United States, appellant in the above-entitled cause, and shows unto the court that on the 2nd day of March, 1925, a decision was rendered and a decree entered against it herein, in which decision and decree, to its damage and prejudice, certain errors were committed, as will appear from the assignment of errors filed herewith.

Your petitioner further shows that the decree of the Court of Appeals herein is subject to review by the Supreme Court under the provisions of paragraphs fifth and sixth of section 250 of

30 the Judical Code in that the existence and scope of the power and duty of an officer of the United States, to wit the Alien Property Custodian, is drawn in question, and that this is a case in which the construction of a law of the United States is drawn in

question by the defendants, to wit, the trading with the enemy act as

amended.

Wherefore, your petitioner prays the allowance of an appeal to th Supreme Court of the United States for the correction of the errors complained of, and that a transcript of the record, proceedings and papers in this cause, duly authenticated, may be sent to the said Supreme Court, and it appearing that this appeal is brought up by the United States, that no bond, obligation or security shall be required from the United States to answer in damages or costs, and that the said appeal shall operate as a supersedeas.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

By JOHN G. SARGENT,

Attorney General of the United States.

Service of a copy of the above petition for appeal received this 1st day of May, 1925.

McKenney & Flannery, Attorneys for the Appellees.

In Court of Appeals of District of Columbia

[Title omitted.]

31

Assignment of errors

Filed May 2, 1925

And now comes the United States of America by John G. Sargent, Attorney General of the United States, the appellant herein, and says that in the record and proceedings of the Court of Appeals in the above-entitled cause and in the rendition of the final decree therein manifest error has intervened, to the prejudice of said appellant in this, to wit:

(1) The court erred in ordering, adjudging, and decreeing that the appeal of the United States of America from the order entered in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia on the 24th

day of May, 1924 be dismissed.

(2) The court erred in deciding and adjudging that the United States is not a "person" as mentioned in section 9 of the trading with the enemy act or such a party as can take advantage of 32 the provisions thereof.

(3) The court erred in adjudging and deciding that the United States has no such interest in the fund in question as can

be affected by the present suit.

(4) The court erred in deciding and adjudging that the fund in question has been set aside by the trading with the enemy act for the satisfaction of such claims as may be legally brought against it by claimants other than the United States.

(5) The court erred in deciding and adjudging that the United States has relinquished any interest it may have had in the fund in favor of creditors of the enemy, in this instance the German

Government.

(6) The court erred in not deciding and adjudging that the United States is a "person" within the meaning of section 9 trading with the enemy act as amended. (7) The court erred in not deciding and adjudging that the United States is entitled to assert its claims against the fund in question.

(8) The court erred in adjudging and deciding that the order striking the suggestion of the United States from the files was a mere interlocutory order which could not furnish the basis for a separate appeal.

(9) The court erred in deciding and adjudging that the United States was not a party in any sense or aspect of the case in the court below, and it never, at any time, sought to have itself made a

party by intervention or otherwise.

33 (10) The court erred in not ordering, adjudging, and decreeing that the order of the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia striking out the suggestion of the United States be reversed and the cause remanded with instructions that the motion to strike out the suggestion of the United States be overruled.

United States of America, By John G. Sargent, Attorney General of the United States, Its Attorney,

Service acknowledged this 1st day of May, 1925.

McKenney & Flannery, Attorney for Appellee.

[File indorsement omitted.]

34 In Court of Appeals of District of Columbia

[Title omitted.]

Order allowing appeal

May 4, 1925

On consideration of the petition for the allowance of an appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States in the above-entitled cause, it is ordered by the court that said appeal be, and the same is hereby, allowed as prayed, the same to operate as a supercedeas.

[Citation in usual form showing service on McKenney & Flannery, filed May 4, 1925, omitted in printing.]

In Court of Appeals, District of Columbia

[Title omitted.]

36

Præcipe for transcript of record

Filed May 2, 1925

The clerk will please prepare a transcript of record on appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States in the above-entitled cause and include therein the following:

The printed record in the Court of Appeals. Minute entry as to argument of case.

The opinion.

The decree.

Petition for the allowance of appeal and assignment of errors. Order allowing appeal.

Citation.

37

This designation.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
By JOHN G. SARGENT,
Attorney General of the United States, Its Attorney.

Service acknowledged 1st day of May, 1925.

McKenny & Flannery, Attorney for Appellee.

[File indorsement omitted.]

In Court of Appeals of District of Columbia

Clerk's certificate

I, Henry W. Hodges, clerk of the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the foregoing printed and typewritten pages numbered from 1 to 36, inclusive, constitute a true copy of the transcript of record and proceedings of said Court of Appeals in the case of United States of America, appellant, vs. The Equitable Trust Company of New York, a corporation, No. 4207, April term, 1925, as the same remain upon the files and records of said Court of Appeals.

In testimony whereof, I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of said Court of Appeals, at the city of Washington, this 5th

day of May, A. D. 1925.

[SEAL.] HENRY W. Hodges, Clerk of the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia.

[Indorsement on cover:] File No. 31,137. District of Columbia, Courts of Appeals. Term No. 430. The United States of America, appellant, vs. The Equitable Trust Company of New York. Filed May 8th, 1925. File No. 31,137.